

Memorandum



Miami-Dade County Office of the Inspector General A State of Florida Commission on Law Enforcement Accredited Agency 601 NW 1st Court ◆ South Tower, 22nd Floor ◆ Miami, Florida 33136 Phone: (305) 375-1946 ◆ Fax: (305) 579-2656
Visit our website at: www.miamidadeiq.org

To: Alex Muñoz, Director

Internal Services Department

From: Felix Jimenez, Inspector General.

Date: February 16, 2022

Subject: Receipt of Status Report and Audit Closure involving Contract 8757-1/18-1:

Electrical and Electronic Components, Tools, Parts, and Supplies, IG18-0007A

The Office of the Inspector General (OIG) conducted an audit of the above-captioned County contract. The contract was a pool contract that provided electrical and electronic components, tools, parts, and supplies on an as needed basis to over 20 departments. We received the Internal Services Department's (ISD) status report dated December 16, 2021. (Attachment 1) We appreciate the thorough response advising us of the corrective actions that have been implemented since our final audit report was issued.

The OIG selected four departments for audit testing (the Miami-Dade Public Library System, the Public Housing and Community Development Department, the Seaport Department, and the Department of Solid Waste Management). The OIG's Final Audit Report contained two observations and two recommendations that included common issues noted across the four departments. The first observation addressed departmental use of the Contract and their non-compliance with contract requirements. The second observation addressed the non-uniform and irregular application of the County's Collusion Affidavit requirements. The OIG's recommendations urged additional trainings offered by ISD to ensure compliance with County and contract requirements, the appropriate use of blanket purchase orders, and ISD to provide guidance and training on the Collusion Affidavit and its procurement requirements.

ISD concurred with our observations and recommendations, and we are pleased of the recent and on-going training sessions for County-wide procurement staff. We also take note of the newly crafted Non-Collusion Affidavit (Attachment 2), and it being streamlined to align with best procurement practices.

By way of this memorandum, the OIG advises that our audit of the above-captioned subject is complete, and that we are closing this audit. The OIG would like to thank the four departments selected for audit testing and ISD for the courtesies extended to the OIG throughout this audit.

Attachments

cc: Edward Marquez, Chief Finance Officer, Office of the Mayor Michael Liu, Director, Public Housing and Community Development Department Michael J. Fernandez, Director, Department of Solid Waste Management Ray Baker, Director, Miami-Dade Public Library System Hydi Webb, Interim Director, Seaport Department Namita Uppal, Chief Procurement Officer, ISD Gary T. Hartfield, Division Director, Small Business Development, ISD Cathy Jackson, Director, Audit and Management Services Department Yinka Majekodunmi, Commission Auditor, Office of the Commission Auditor

Jennifer Moon, Chief, Office of Policy and Budgetary Affairs



Date:

December 16, 2021

To:

Felix Jimenez

Inspector General

Office of the spector General

Through:

Alex Muñdz

Director

Internal Serv artment

From

Namita Uppal, C.P.M. Chief Procurement Officer

Internal Services Department

menit 44

Digitally signed by Namita DN: cn=Namita Uppal, o=Miami Dade County, ou=Chief Procurement Officer. email=uppaln@miamidade.gov,

Date: 2021.12.16 09:14:01

Subject:

Follow-Up Report on the Status of Implemented Recommendations in Response to

OIG Final Audit Ref. IG18-0007-A

Consistent with the recommendations the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) noted in the above referenced audit report, the Internal Services Department, Strategic Procurement Division (ISD-SPD) has progressively implemented the following actions.

1. TRAINING FOR COUNTYWIDE PROCUREMENT PROFESSIONALS

Countywide procurement professionals (departmental procurement liaisons) were provided with several training sessions in support of reinforcing proper purchasing methods and re-emphasizing their responsibilities regarding compliance with County contracting requirements. ISD-SPD leveraged existing resources and utilized technology to deliver interactive modules which reviewed County policies and procedures to include but not limited to, the intent and application of the Cone of Silence ordinance, the contents and purpose of an Invitation to Quote (ITQ) solicitation, the application of small and local preferences and, how to conduct supplier pre-award due diligence utilizing the compliance checklist. We are planning our next training session in the second quarter of the current fiscal year.

2. NEWLY CRAFTED NON-COLLUSION AFFIDAVIT TEMPLATE

ISD-SPD modernized its existing Collusion Affidavit into a newly crafted "Non-Collusion Affidavit" (the Affidavit). The streamlined document provides prospective contractors with:

- ✓ Inclusion of "Non" to Collusion Affidavit title which immediately relays Miami-Dade County's intent to contract with suppliers whose offer and pricing are independent of its competitors.
- ✓ Easy access to Section 2-8.1.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (via hyperlink provided in Affidavit) for enhance transparency in legislative requirements.
- ✓ Enclosure of an exhibit page which is separate and apart from the Affidavit, wherein procurement professionals can now identify all other parties bidding in the competitive solicitation without having to manipulate the Affidavit that is ultimately affirmed, under penalty of perjury, by the prospective contractor.
- ✓ Ability to complete and affirm the Affidavit through written declaration, in lieu of notarization. Thereby mitigating the repetitive use of the Affidavit by vendors seeking to curtail notary fees. Pursuant to §92.525, Florida Statutes, the affiant (prospective contractor/supplier), under

Page 2

Follow-Up Report to Status of Implemented Recommendations in Response to OIG Final Audit Ref. IG18-0007-A

penalty of perjury, can declare that they have read the Affidavit and that the facts stated in it are true, accurate, and complete.

The newly crafted Affidavit has been reviewed and approved as to form and legally sufficiency by the County Attorney's Office and is attached. OIG has provided their valuable feedback which was incorporated in the Affidavit. This Affidavit was launched on December 7, 2021.

3. IMPLEMENTATION OF STREAMLINED NON-COLLUSION AFFIDAVIT TO COUNTYWIDE PROCUREMENT PROFESSIONALS

Expanding the applicability of the Non-Collusion Affidavit to solicitations valued **under** \$250,000 (informal solicitations) needlessly burdens vendors as well as departmental operations instead of maximizing process efficiencies and opportunities for small and local businesses. Moreover, and consistent with <u>Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 3.103-1</u>, the Certificate of Independent Price Determination provision <u>52.203-2</u> (FAR's version of Non-Collusion Affidavit), is **not** applicable to acquisitions made under the simplified acquisition procedures in <u>Part 13</u>; (currently \$250,000.00). Notwithstanding, ISD-SPD will roll-out the streamlined Non-Collusion Affidavit to Countywide procurement professionals via remote session.

Should you have any questions or need further information, please contact me at (305) 375-4900.

c: Edward Marquez, Chief Financial Officer

Attachment: Non-Collusion Affidavit



NON-COLLUSION AFFIDAVIT

(In accordance with <u>Sections 2-8.1.1</u> and <u>10-33.02.1</u> of the Code of Miami-Dade County)

I, the undersigned, am over 18 years of age, have personal knowledge of the facts stated in the Non-Collusion Affidavit (this Affidavit) and I am an owner, officer, director, principal shareholder and/or otherwise authorized to bind the Bidder/Proposer of this solicitation.

ana rai		The Willer of Control	anormoo adanonzoa	to sind the Bladent reposer of the seneration
A.	I have reviewed the list of respondents attached to this Affidavit. I state that the Bidder/Proposer of this competitive soli (check one):			
		is not related to any of the other respondents s	ubmitting a Bid/Propo	osal in the competitive solicitation.
		is related to the following respondents who identified and listed below:	submitted a Bid/Pro	posal in the competitive solicitation, which are
В.	I state that the Bidder/Proposer of this competitive solicitation:			
	1.	has prepared this Bid/Proposal independently without other Bidder/Proposer or competitor for the purpose		
	2.	has submitted the Bid/Proposal in its own behalf, and	d not in the interest o	r on behalf of any person not therein named;
	has not, directly or indirectly, induced or solicited any other Bidder/Proposer to put in a sham proposal, or a person, firm, or corporation to refrain from proposing;			
	4.	has not in any manner sought by collusion to secure	an advantage over a	ny other Bidder/Proposer.
extent of mean the parents which he principal Bid/Pro- consider for defa	of ow he B , ste ave als th posa ered i ult.	shall be presumed to be collusive. The foregoing presumership, control and management of such related part Bidder/Proposer; the principals, corporate officers, an epparents, siblings, children or stepchildren of a Bidder a direct or indirect ownership interest in another Bidder hereof of one Bidder/Proposer have a direct or indirect all found to be collusive shall be rejected. Bidder/Proposer have a direct or indirect all found to be collusive shall be rejected. Bidder/Proposer have a direct or indirect all found to be collusive shall be rejected. Bidder/Proposer have a direct or indirect all found to be collusive shall be rejected. Bidder/Proposer have a direct or indirect all found to be collusive shall be rejected. Bidder/Proposer have a direct or indirect all found to be collusive shall be rejected. Bidder/Proposer have a direct or indirect all found to be collusive shall be rejected. Bidder/Proposer have a direct or indirect all found to be collusive shall be rejected. Bidder/Proposer have a direct or indirect all found to be collusive shall be rejected. Bidder/Proposer have a direct or indirect all found to be collusive shall be rejected. Bidder/Proposer have a direct or indirect all found to be collusive shall be rejected. Bidder/Proposer have a direct or indirect all found to be collusive shall be rejected. Bidder/Proposer have a direct or indirect all found to be collusive shall be rejected. Bidder/Proposer have a direct or indirect all found to be collusive shall be rejected.	ties in preparation and managers of a Bion of the print of the print of the print of the same ownership interest in the proposer who has been and any contract results.	nd submittal of such Bids. Related parties shalder/Proposer; or the spouse, domestic partner acipals, corporate officers and managers therefore me contract or in which a parent company or the another Bidder/Proposer for the same contract on found to have engaged in collusion may be sulting from collusive bidding may be terminated.
Solicitation No.: Solicitation Title:				
By:			Date:	20
		Signature of Affiant		
		Printed Name of Affiant and Title		yer Identification Number
		Printed Name	of Bidder/Proposer	
			1	